UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----X
DANIEL WILLIAM GENNARO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MEMORANDUM & ORDER 14-CV-03629 (JS) (WDW)

VINCENT F. DEMARCO, Sheriff of Suffolk County, and JOHN DOES #1-#3, Individually and in their Official Capacities,

Defendants.

----X

APPEARANCES

For Plaintiff: Daniel William Gennaro, pro se

576979

Suffolk County Correctional Facility

110 Center Drive Riverhead, NY 11901

For Defendants: No appearances.

SEYBERT, District Judge:

On June 6, 2014, incarcerated <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> plaintiff Daniel William Gennaro ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint in this Court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983") against Suffolk County Sheriff Vincent F. DeMarco, and three unidentified individuals all of whom are alleged to be Suffolk County corrections officers "assigned to the Holding Penn in the Suffolk County Riverhead Correctional Facility" (Compl. ¶¶ 6-8) (together, "Defendants"), accompanied by an application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>.

Upon review of the declaration in support of the application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u>, the Court finds that Plaintiff is qualified to commence this action without prepayment

of the filing fee. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a); 1915(a)(1). Therefore, Plaintiff's request to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> is GRANTED. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS service of the Summonses and Complaint upon the Defendants by the United States Marshal Service ("USMS").

However, the USMS will not be able to effect service of the Summonses and the Complaint on the unidentified Defendants without more information. The Second Circuit has held that district courts must provide incarcerated pro se litigants with reasonable assistance in investigating the identity of such "John Doe" defendants. See Valentin v. Dinkins, 121 F.3d 72, 75-76 (2d Cir. 1997) (per curiam). Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of the Complaint together with this on the Suffolk County Attorney. The Suffolk County Attorney's Office is requested to attempt to ascertain the full names of the unnamed Corrections Officers who are described in the Complaint ($\underline{\text{see}}$ Compl. ¶¶ 6-8, and 10) and to provide to the Court and to Plaintiff their names and the address(es) where these individuals can be served within thirty (30) days of the date that this Order is served upon it. Once the information is provided to the Court by the Suffolk County Attorney's Office, Plaintiff's Complaint shall be deemed amended to reflect the full names of the Defendants, Summonses shall be issued as to unnamed Defendants, and the USMS shall serve them. The Suffolk County Attorney need not undertake to defend or indemnify these individuals at this juncture. This Order merely provides a means by which Plaintiff may properly name and serve the unnamed Defendants as instructed by the Second Circuit in <u>Valentin</u>.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiff's application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> is GRANTED and the Court ORDERS service of the Summonses and Complaint upon the Defendants by the USMS.

The Clerk of the Court is further ORDERED to serve a copy of the Complaint together with this Order on the Suffolk County Attorney and the Suffolk County Attorney's Office is requested to attempt to ascertain the full names of the unidentified Suffolk County Corrections Officers who are described in the Complaint and to provide their names and address(es) where these Defendants can be served to the Court and to Plaintiff within thirty (30) days of the date that this Order is served upon it. Once the information is provided to the Court by the Suffolk County Attorney's Office, Plaintiff's Complaint shall be deemed amended to reflect the full name of the unnamed Defendants, Summonses shall be issued as to these Defendants, and the USMS shall serve them.

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith and therefore <u>in forma pauperis</u> status is DENIED for the purpose of

any appeal. <u>See Coppedge v. United States</u>, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45, 82 S. Ct. 917, 8 L. Ed. 2d 21 (1962).

The Clerk of the Court is further directed to mail a copy of this Memorandum and Order to the \underline{pro} Se Plaintiff.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT
Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated: June <u>23</u>, 2014 Central Islip, New York